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Since the last revision of this section, the results from several cohort studies, clinical trials, and meta-analyses on the use of vitamin D for the prevention or treatment of COVID-19 have been published in peer-reviewed journals or have been made available as manuscripts ahead of peer review. However, most of these studies had significant limitations, such as small sample sizes or a lack of randomization and/or blinding. In addition, these studies used varying doses and formulations of vitamin D, enrolled participants with a range of COVID-19 severities, included different concomitant medications, and measured different study outcomes. All these factors make it difficult to compare results across studies. The studies summarized below are those that have had the greatest impact on the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel’s (the Panel) recommendations.

Although multiple observational cohort studies suggest that people with low vitamin D levels are at increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and worse clinical outcomes after infection (e.g., higher mortality rates), clear evidence that vitamin D supplementation provides protection against infection or improves outcomes in patients with COVID-19 is still lacking.\(^1\),\(^2\)

**Recommendation**

- There is insufficient evidence to recommend either for or against the use of vitamin D for the prevention or treatment of COVID-19.

**Rationale**

Vitamin D is critical for bone and mineral metabolism. Because the vitamin D receptor is expressed on immune cells such as B cells, T cells, and antigen-presenting cells, and because these cells can synthesize the active vitamin D metabolite, vitamin D also has the potential to modulate innate and adaptive immune responses.\(^3\) It is postulated that these immunomodulatory effects of vitamin D could potentially protect against SARS-CoV-2 infection or decrease the severity of COVID-19.

Vitamin D deficiency (defined as a serum concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D ≤20 ng/mL) is common in the United States, particularly among persons of Hispanic ethnicity and Black race.\(^4\) These groups are overrepresented among cases of COVID-19 in the United States.\(^5\) Vitamin D deficiency is also more common in older patients and patients with obesity and hypertension; these factors have been associated with worse outcomes in patients with COVID-19.\(^6\) High levels of vitamin D may cause hypercalcemia and nephrocalcinosis.\(^7\)

**Clinical Data on Vitamin D for Prevention**

In a double-blind trial conducted at 4 hospitals in Mexico, frontline health care workers were randomized to receive vitamin D\(_3\) 4,000 IU or placebo for 30 days.\(^8\) Participants were enrolled before COVID-19 vaccines became available. Over one-third of the enrolled participants dropped out before study completion. Of the 192 participants who completed follow-up, 6.4% of participants in the vitamin D\(_3\) arm and 24.5% in the placebo arm acquired SARS-CoV-2 infection (relative risk 0.22; 95% CI, 0.08–0.59). At baseline, approximately 67% of participants had vitamin D deficiency, but this was not found to be an independent predictor of acquiring SARS-CoV-2 infection. The frequency of SARS-CoV-2 infection was very high in the placebo group, and it is unclear how these results translate to the use of vitamin D in vaccinated health care workers.
Clinical Data on Vitamin D for Treatment

In a double-blind trial conducted from June to October 2020 at 2 sites in Brazil, 240 hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 were randomized to receive a single dose of vitamin D₃ 200,000 IU or placebo.⁹ Patients were considered to have moderate to severe COVID-19 if they had a positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) result for SARS-CoV-2 or compatible computed tomography scan findings and a respiratory rate >24 breaths/min or oxygen saturation <93% on room air. The primary outcome was length of hospital stay. The study found no significant difference in the median length of stay between the vitamin D₃ arm (7.0 days; IQR 4.0–10.0 days) and the placebo arm (7.0 days; IQR 5.0–13.0 days; log-rank \( P = 0.59 \)). No significant differences were observed between the arms in the proportion of patients who were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), the need for mechanical ventilation, or mortality. There were no significant safety concerns.

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study conducted in Argentina included 218 adult patients with COVID-19 who had been admitted to the hospital during the preceding 24 hours and who had oxygen saturation ≥90% on room air and a risk factor for disease progression.¹⁰ Patients were randomized to receive a single oral dose of vitamin D₃ 500,000 IU or placebo. The primary outcome was the change in the respiratory sepsis-related organ failure assessment (rSOFA) score between baseline and the highest value recorded up to Day 7. There was no significant difference between the arms for this outcome, with a median change of 0 in both arms (\( P = 0.925 \)). There were also no significant differences between the arms in the median length of hospital stay, the number of patients admitted to the ICU, or in-hospital mortality.

A randomized, open-label study conducted in France compared the effect of a high dose of vitamin D₃ 400,000 IU to the standard dose of vitamin D₃ 50,000 IU on mortality in 254 patients who were either hospitalized or living in nursing facilities near the study hospital sites.¹¹ Patients were aged ≥65 years, had been diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection within the preceding 3 days, and had at least 1 risk factor for disease progression (i.e., aged ≥75 years, hypoxemia). Mortality was significantly different between the arms at 14 days, with 7 deaths (6%) among patients in the high-dose arm and 14 deaths (11%) among patients in the standard-dose arm (adjusted HR 0.33; 95% CI, 0.12–0.86; \( P = 0.02 \)). However, mortality was not significantly different between the arms at 28 days (adjusted HR 0.70; 95% CI, 0.36–1.36; \( P = 0.29 \)).

In an open-label pilot study, 50 hospitalized adults in New York with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were randomized to receive calcitriol 0.5 μg daily for 14 days or no treatment.¹² Calcitriol is the active metabolite of cholecalciferol or vitamin D₃ and is more commonly used to treat parathyroid disease. The study evaluated the change in the patients’ oxygen saturation between admission and discharge. Additional outcomes were the length of hospital stay; mortality; and the need for endotracheal intubation, ICU admission, or hospital readmission within 30 days. Oxygen saturation was calculated using the ratio of peripheral arterial oxygen saturation (measured by pulse oximetry) to fraction of inspired oxygen (\( \text{SpO}_2 / \text{FiO}_2 \)) as a surrogate for the ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen (\( \text{PaO}_2 / \text{FiO}_2 \)).

Between admission and discharge, the patients who received no treatment had an average increase of 13.2 (SD ±127.7) in the \( \text{SaO}_2 / \text{FiO}_2 \) ratio and those who received calcitriol had an increase of 91.04 (SD ±119.08; \( P = 0.0305 \)), implying an improvement in oxygenation.¹² There were no differences between the arms in the length of hospital stay, mortality, or the need for ICU admission or hospital readmission.

More information on the clinical trials that are evaluating the use of vitamin D can be found on ClinicalTrials.gov.
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